arXiv:1005.5053v3 [hep-th] 24 Aug 2010

August 25, 2010

Smarr Formula and an Extended First Law for Lovelock Gravity

David Kastor[a], **Sourya Ray**[b] **and Jennie Traschen**[a]

[a] Department of Physics, University of Massachusetts, Amherst, MA 01003
[b] Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECS), Casilla 1469, Valdivia, Chile
`kastor@physics.umass.edu, ray@cecs.cl, traschen@physics.umass.edu`

Abstract

We study properties of static, asymptotically AdS black holes in Lovelock gravity. Our main result is a Smarr formula that gives the mass in terms of geometrical quantities together with the parameters of the Lovelock theory. As in Einstein gravity, the Smarr formula follows from applying the first law to an infinitesimal change in the overall length scale. However, because the Lovelock couplings are dimensionful, we must first prove an extension of the first law that includes their variations. Key ingredients in this construction are the Killing-Lovelock potentials associated with each of the higher curvature Lovelock interactions. Geometric expressions are obtained for the new thermodynamic potentials conjugate to variation of the Lovelock couplings.

1 Introduction

Lovelock theories [1] are an intriguing subset of higher curvature gravity theories. While the field equations for a general higher curvature theory involve second derivatives of the Riemann tensor, Lovelock theories share the property of Einstein gravity that no derivatives of the curvature tensor, and hence only second derivatives of the metric tensor, arise. It follows that Lovelock gravities share a number of additional nice properties with Einstein gravity that are not enjoyed by other more general higher curvature theories. Most prominently, Lovelock gravities can have stable, ghost free, constant curvature vacua [2][3] and hence are suitable starting points for quantization[1]. For the purposes of this paper it will be particularly useful that the second order character of the Lovelock field equations leads to a reasonably well behaved Hamiltonian formulation of the theory [6].

Lovelock gravity theories have been studied by many authors over a wide span of years. In particular, exact, static black hole solutions were found beginning with the work of [7][8][9] (see also the recent reviews [10][11]). An expression for the entropy of Lovelock black holes was obtained in [12] by means of integrating a first law that was derived using the Hamiltonian perturbation theory techniques of [13] (see also reference [14] for the entropy of asymptotically AdS black holes with flat and negatively curved horizons). The entropy formula includes new contributions coming from the higher curvature terms in the action and is in agreement with the entropy formula obtained later via more general methods in [15][16]. There has also been considerable recent interest in Lovelock gravities in the context of the AdS/CFT correspondence [17, 18, 19, 20, 21, 22, 23, 24, 25, 26, 27], while stability of static Lovelock black holes under perturbations has been addressed in [28, 29, 30, 31, 32, 33].

This paper extends to Lovelock gravities a line of inquiry that was initiated in references [34, 35]. In [35] we studied certain thermodynamic properties of black holes in Einstein gravity with a non-zero cosmological constant Λ. Geometrical techniques were used to derive a Smarr formula and also a related extension of the first law that includes variations $\delta\Lambda$ in the cosmological constant. The resulting Smarr formula has the form

$$(D-3)GM = (D-2)\frac{\kappa A}{8\pi} - 2\frac{\Theta\Lambda}{8\pi} \tag{1}$$

where M is the ADM mass of the black hole, κ and A are the surface gravity and area of the horizon, and Θ represents a new potential that is thermodynamically conjugate to Λ. For $\Lambda = 0$ equation (1) reduces to the well known result [37] for static, asymptotically flat black holes. The extension to $\Lambda \neq 0$ had been previously established based on analysis of the explicit Schwarzschild-(A)dS solutions [38, 39, 40, 41, 42, 43, 44]. However, no general derivation was given, and although explicit expressions for the potential Θ were obtained in terms of M and Λ, its geometric significance could not be addressed by these means.

---

[1] Not all constant curvature vacua of Lovelock gravity theories are stable and ghost free. A recent analysis [4] of the Lovelock gravity theory including up to curvature squared terms found that in the region of parameter space supporting two constant curvature vacua, typically one was stable, and one was unstable. See also reference [5] for a related discussion including the Lovelock curvature cubed terms in $D = 7$ with three dimensions compactified.

There are two ways to derive the Smarr formula for $\Lambda = 0$, both of which were generalized to $\Lambda \neq 0$ in [35]. Both of these derivations may also be extended to Lovelock gravity theories. Although we will only present the second method here for the Lovelock case, it will be worthwhile to briefly discuss the first method as well. The first method makes use of Komar integral relations [45], which are Gauss' law or Stokes' theorem type statements that hold on a spatial slice in a spacetime with a Killing vector. For a static black hole with $\Lambda = 0$, the Komar integral relation for the time translation Killing vector yields an equality between a boundary integral at infinity proportional to M and one at the black hole horizon proportional to κA. This equality is the Smarr formula (1) with $\Lambda = 0$. However, this approach does not extend directly to $\Lambda \neq 0$. The cosmological constant acts as a source term in the Komar integral relation, present everywhere on the spatial slice. Considering AdS black holes, the boundary integral at infinity is no longer equal to the horizon boundary integral, because of this source. The boundary integral at infinity, in fact, diverges. It can be regularized, by integrating over a sphere at large but not infinite radius, and renormalized, via a background subtraction, to yield a finite mass [46]. However, this procedure does not yield a Smarr formula.

An improved Komar integral relation for the $\Lambda \neq 0$ case was introduced in [34] and applied in [35] to establish equation (1). In the improved relation, the volume source term proportional to Λ is written as a total divergence and converted to a boundary integral. The new boundary integrand is proportional to the antisymmetric Killing potential β^{ab}, related to the Killing vector ξ^a through

$$\xi^b = \nabla_a \beta^{ab}. \tag{2}$$

The combination of this new boundary integral with the original Komar integral at infinity now yields a finite result, eliminating the need for regularization and renormalization. The improved Komar integral relation then yields the Smarr formula (1), including an expression for the potential Θ in terms of the difference between integrals of the Killing potential at the horizon and infinity.

The second method of establishing the Smarr formula for $\Lambda = 0$ is via the first law $\delta(GM) = \kappa\delta A/8\pi$. In order for the first law to be satisfied by an overall change in length scale L, in which the quantities GM and A scale as L^{D-3} and L^{D-2} respectively, the mass and area must be related as in (1). As noted in [47], in order to apply this method with $\Lambda \neq 0$ one needs a version of the first law in which the cosmological constant Λ, which scales as L^{-2}, is also allowed to vary. The derivation of such an extended first law in [35] also makes use of the Killing potential β^{ab} and ultimately yields the same expression for the potential Θ in equation (1) as using Komar integrals.

The construction of improved Komar integral relations given in [34] applies to all Lovelock gravity theories, the addition of the cosmological constant term to Einstein gravity being the simplest case in which it is necessary. The key ingredient in the construction is a sequence of Killing-Lovelock potentials $\beta^{(k)ab}$ (defined below) that are in one-to-one correspondence with the higher curvature terms $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ (also given below) in the Lagrangian of a Lovelock gravity theory. We will follow the first law method described above to derive the Smarr formula for static, asymptotically AdS Lovelock black holes. The required extension of the first law includes variations δb_k of each of the dimensionful coupling constants b_k of the Lovelock theory. It has the general form

$$\delta(GM) = \frac{\kappa\delta\hat{A}}{8\pi} - \frac{1}{16\pi}\sum_k \Psi^{(k)}\delta b_k. \tag{3}$$

where the quantity $\hat{A}$ defined in equation (9) below is related to the black hole entropy by $S = \hat{A}/4G$, and the quantities $\Psi^{(k)}$ are new thermodynamic potentials conjugate to the individual Lovelock couplings b_k. The central result of the paper is the expression (37) that we derive for the $\Psi^{(k)}$. We find that there are three contributions to this result. The first, which we write as $\Theta^{(k)}$, is given in terms of boundary integrals of the Killing-Lovelock potentials. It can be thought of as coming from the variation of the effective stress-energy introduced by the higher curvature Lovelock interactions. The second and third contributions come from the explicit dependence of the mass and entropy on the Lovelock couplings. In the simple case of Einstein gravity with a non-zero cosmological constant Λ studied in [35], only the first of these contributions arose, because the mass and entropy have no explicit dependence on Λ.

Given the extended first law, it is then straightforward to derive the Smarr formula via the scaling argument discussed above. The quantity $\hat{A}$ scales in the same way as the horizon area, while the Lovelock couplings scale as $L^{2(k-1)}$, giving the result

$$(D-3)M = (D-2)TS - \sum_k 2(k-1)\frac{\Psi^{(k)}b_k}{16\pi G} \tag{4}$$

where the relation between the temperature and surface gravity $T = \kappa/2\pi$ has now been used. The Smarr formula gives the mass of a static, asymptotically AdS black hole in terms of certain thermodynamic properties, the surface gravity, entropy, and the potentials $\Psi^{(k)}$. It becomes particularly useful when such solutions are not known explicitly, as is the case in higher order Lovelock theories [9]. In the concluding section we will indicate how the Smarr formula may be used in analyzing the Hawking-Page [48] phase transition in general Lovelock gravity theories.

The remainder of the paper is organized as follows. Section (2) summarizes the basic formalism of Lovelock gravity including, in particular, the Killing-Lovelock potentials and the Hamiltonian formulation of the theory. In section (3) we use Hamiltonian perturbation theory techniques to prove the extended first law for Lovelock gravities, including variations in the Lovelock couplings. The final result for the Smarr formula then follows from the scaling argument sketched above. Section (4) contains concluding remarks including some directions for future research.

2 Lovelock Gravity

Lovelock gravities [1] are the unique higher curvature gravity theories with field equations that do not involve derivatives of the Riemann curvature tensor. In the subsections below, we will present the parts of the formalism of Lovelock gravity that are needed for our derivations of the extended first law and Smarr formula.

2.1 Lagrangian and equations of motion

The Lagrangian of a Lovelock gravity theory is given by $\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k\geq 0} c_k \mathcal{L}^{(k)}$, where the c_k are real coefficients specifying the theory and the $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ are particular scalar contractions of k powers of the Riemann tensor given by

$$\mathcal{L}^{(k)} = \frac{1}{2^k} \delta^{a_1 b_1 \dots a_k b_k}_{c_1 d_1 \dots . c_k d_k} R_{a_1 b_1}{}^{c_1 d_1} \dots R_{a_k b_k}{}^{c_k d_k}. \tag{5}$$

Here the δ symbol is the totally anti-symmetrized product of Kronecker deltas $\delta^{a_1 \dots a_n}_{c_1 \dots c_n} = n! \delta^{[a_1}_{c_1} \dots \delta^{a_n]}_{c_n}$, which is normalized so that it takes nonzero values ± 1. By definition, the zeroth order term in the curvature is given by $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = 1$, while the linear term is normalized so that $\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = R$. The term quadratic in the curvature, known as the Gauss-Bonnet term, is given by $\mathcal{L}^{(2)} = R_{ab}{}^{cd} R_{cd}{}^{ab} - 4 R_a{}^b R_b{}^a + R^2$.

A given term $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ in the Lovelock Lagrangian can only contribute to the dynamics in dimensions $D > 2k$. For $D < 2k$, $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ is easily seen to vanish identically, while for $D = 2k$ its integral is proportional to the topologically invariant Euler character of the manifold. It follows that the variation of $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ in $D = 2k$ is a total derivative and does not contribute to the equations of motion. This is the case *e.g.* for the Einstein term $\mathcal{L}^{(1)} = R$ in $D = 2$ and for the Gauss-Bonnet term $\mathcal{L}^{(2)}$ in $D = 4$. The Gauss-Bonnet term only contributes to the dynamics of theories for $D \geq 5$. More generally, the Lovelock lagrangian in D spacetime dimensions can be taken to be the truncated sum

$$\mathcal{L} = \sum_{k=0}^{\hat{k}} c_k \mathcal{L}^{(k)} \tag{6}$$

where $\hat{k} = [(D-1)/2]$. The field equations for Lovelock gravity have the form $\mathcal{G}^a{}_b = 0$ where $\mathcal{G}^a{}_b = \sum_k c_k \mathcal{G}^{(k)a}{}_b$, with the individual Einstein-like tensors $\mathcal{G}^{(k)a}{}_b$ given by

$$\mathcal{G}^{(k)a}{}_b = -\frac{1}{2^{(k+1)}} \delta^{a c_1 d_1 \dots c_k d_k}_{b e_1 f_1 \dots e_k f_k} R_{c_1 d_1}{}^{e_1 f_1} \dots R_{c_k d_k}{}^{e_k f_k}. \tag{7}$$

Because the field equations do not contain any derivatives of the curvature tensor, we see explicitly that the equations of motion do not involve any derivatives of the metric higher than second order. Since the terms $\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$ are diffeomorphism invariant, each of the Einstein-like tensors $\mathcal{G}^{(k)a}{}_b$ satisfies $\nabla_a \mathcal{G}^{(k)a}{}_b = 0$.

2.2 Including a factor of Newton's constant

In the case of pure gravity, an overall rescaling of the gravitational action does not change the equations of motion. When gravity is coupled to matter a factor of $1/16\pi G$ is typically written in front of the gravitational action, which defines the strength of the coupling of gravity to matter. Even though we are studying vacuum spacetimes in this paper, it will be useful later on to work with rescaled Lovelock parameters b_k defined by

$$c_k = \frac{b_k}{16\pi G} \tag{8}$$

so that an overall factor of $1/16\pi G$ will multiply the gravitational action. The parameters b_k then have dimensions $L^{2(k-1)}$, with b_1 being dimensionless. In general, the total Lagrangian is a sum of the Lovelock Lagrangian plus a contribution from matter fields, and then the field equations are $\mathcal{G}^a{}_b = \sum_k b_k \mathcal{G}^{(k)}_{ab} = 8\pi G T_{ab}$. Of course the distinction between the c_k and the b_k is hidden when one works in units with $G = 1$, which gives mass the same units as length, but keeping factors of G explicit will be important for identifying physical quantities and their scaling dimensions.

2.3 Black hole entropy

We will also need the formula for black hole entropy in Lovelock gravity, which was obtained in reference [12] by integrating the first law for Lovelock black holes (with fixed Lovelock couplings). First define the quantities

$$\hat{A} = \sum_k b_k A^{(k)}, \qquad A^{(k)} = k \int_H da \mathcal{L}^{(k-1)} \tag{9}$$

where the integral is taken over the intersection of the black hole horizon with a spacelike slice and the Lovelock term $\mathcal{L}^{(k-1)}$ is evaluated with respect to the induced metric on this cross-section of the horizon. The black hole entropy is then given by

$$S = \frac{1}{4G}\hat{A} \tag{10}$$

Note that $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = 1$ and therefore $A^{(1)}$ is simply the horizon area, reproducing the familiar result of Einstein gravity, while the Gauss-Bonnet term in the original Lovelock action will make a contribution to the entropy proportional to the integral of the scalar curvature over the horizon. The fact that the entropy has explicit dependence on the Lovelock couplings will be important below when we consider the effect of varying these couplings.

2.4 Killing-Lovelock potentials

The Killing-Lovelock potentials [34] which play an important role in our construction arise in the following way. Stokes theorem implies that a divergence free vector field may be written, at least locally, as the divergence of an anti-symmetric two index tensor. Killing vectors are divergenceless and hence a Killing vector ξ^a can be expressed in terms of an anti-symmetric Killing potential as $\xi^b = \nabla_a \beta^{ab}$. The Killing potential β^{ab} is not unique, but can be shifted by the addition of a divergenceless tensor.

Further, each Einstein-like tensors $\mathcal{G}^{(k)a}{}_b$ may be contracted with the Killing vector ξ^a to give the divergenceless currents $\mathcal{J}^{(k)a} = \mathcal{G}^{(k)a}{}_b \xi^b$. The Killing-Lovelock potentials $\beta^{(k)ab}$ are then defined to be solutions of

$$\mathcal{J}^{(k)b} = -\frac{1}{2} \nabla_a \beta^{(k)ab} \tag{11}$$

where the coefficient $-1/2$ on the right hand side is introduced for later convenience. The Killing-Lovelock potentials are defined only up to addition of an arbitrary divergenceless tensor. From equation (7), we see that $\mathcal{G}^{(0)a}{}_b = -(1/2)\delta^a_b$ and hence $\beta^{(0)ab}$ is simply the Killing potential. The Killing potential played an important role in the derivation of the Smarr formula with $\Lambda \neq 0$ and the first law with varying Λ in reference [35]. The Killing potentials with $k > 0$ will play similar roles in our construction below.

2.5 Constant curvature vacua

A Lovelock gravity theory in D spacetime dimensions, specified by a set of coefficients $c_0, \ldots, c_{\hat{k}}$, can have between 0 and $\hat{k}$ distinct constant curvature vacua. These vacua determine the possible asymptotic behaviors for black hole solutions of Lovelock gravity. The possible vacuum curvatures may be found by rewriting the overall Einstein-like tensor $\mathcal{G}^a{}_b$ in the form

$$\mathcal{G}^a{}_b = \alpha_0 \delta^{ac_1 d_1 \ldots c_{\hat{k}} d_{\hat{k}}}_{f e_1 f_1 \ldots e_{\hat{k}} f_{\hat{k}}} (R_{c_1 d_1}{}^{e_1 f_1} - \alpha_1 \delta^{e_1 f_1}_{c_1 d_1}) \ldots (R_{c_{\hat{k}} d_{\hat{k}}}{}^{e_{\hat{k}} f_{\hat{k}}} - \alpha_{\hat{k}} \delta^{e_{\hat{k}} f_{\hat{k}}}_{c_{\hat{k}} d_{\hat{k}}}). \tag{12}$$

Through use of the identity

$$\delta^{a_1 \ldots a_p}_{b_1 \ldots b_p} \delta^{b_{p-1} b_p}_{a_{p-1} a_p} = 2(D-p+1)(D-p+2) \delta^{a_1 \ldots a_{p-2}}_{b_1 \ldots b_{p-2}}. \tag{13}$$

one can see that this is equivalent to the original form given in section (2.1). The real-valued coefficients b_k in the Lovelock Lagrangian are given by sums of products of the new coefficients α_k in (12) (the explicit relations are given in [49]). Inverting this relation to get the α_k's in terms of the b_k's would require solving a system of algebraic equations that are of order $\hat{k}$ in their variables. Hence some, or all, of the coefficients α_k may be complex. If some particular coefficient α_p is real, it follows that a spacetime with constant curvature given by $R_{ab}{}^{cd} = \alpha_p \delta^{cd}_{ab}$ solves the equations of motion $\mathcal{G}^a{}_b = 0$. Our primary interest will be in asymptotically AdS black holes, and we will therefore assume that we are working with a Lovelock gravity theory having at least one α_k real and negative.

2.6 Hamiltonian formulation

The Hamiltonian formulation of Lovelock gravity given in [6] was used in [12] to derive a first law and the expression (10) for the gravitational entropy in Lovelock theories. We will make similar use of the Hamiltonian formalism in combination with the Killing potentials to derive an extended first law in which the Lovelock coupling constants are allowed to vary. As usual, the spacetime metric is split according to

$$g_{ab} = -n_a n_b + s_{ab}, \qquad n_a n^a = -1, \qquad s_a{}^b n_b = 0. \tag{14}$$

where n^a is the unit timelike normal to a spatial slice Σ and s_{ab} is the induced metric on Σ. The canonical variables are the spatial metric s_{ab} and its conjugate momentum[2] π^{ab}. We consider Hamiltonian evolution along a vector field ξ^a, which can be written in projected form as $\xi^a = Fn^a + F^a$ with $F^a = s^a{}_b \xi^b$. The Hamiltonian is then given by $\mathcal{H} = \int \sqrt{s} H$ where $H = FH_\perp + F^a H_a$ where as in Einstein gravity the quantities $H_\perp$ and H_a are proportional to the components of the Einstein-like tensor $\mathcal{G}_{ab}$ with one index contracted with the normal n^a and the other index projected normal to or parallel to the surface respectively,

$$H_\perp = -2\mathcal{G}_{ab} n^a n^b, \qquad H_a = -2 s_a{}^b n^c \mathcal{G}_{bc}. \tag{15}$$

Also as in Einstein gravity, the lapse F and shift F^a are Lagrange multipliers. One can further decompose the Hamiltonian order by order in the curvature as $H = \sum_k b_k H^{(k)}$ with $H^{(k)} = FH_\perp^{(k)} + F^a H_a^{(k)}$, $H_\perp^{(k)} = -2\mathcal{G}_{ab}^{(k)} n^a n^b$ and $H_a^{(k)} = -2 s_a{}^b n^c \mathcal{G}_{bc}^{(k)}$. Adding in the stress-energy tensor for later reference, the Hamiltonian and momentum constraint equations are given by $H_\perp = -16\pi G\rho$ and $H_a = -16\pi G J_a$, where $\rho = n^a n^b T_{ab}$ and $J_a = s_a{}^b n^c T_{bc}$.

For a stationary black hole of Lovelock gravity, one can consider Hamiltonian evolution of the initial data with respect to the horizon generating Killing field ξ^a, with corresponding lapse F and shift vector F^a. For this choice of vector field the individual terms $H^{(k)}$ in the decomposition of the Hamiltonian H can each be re-written as a total divergence in terms of the Killing potentials $\beta^{(k)ab}$ introduced in section (2.4). One has

$$H^{(k)} = FH_\perp^{(k)} + F^a H_a^{(k)} = -2\mathcal{G}_c^{(k)d} \xi^c n_d = D_c(\beta^{(k)cd} n_d) \tag{16}$$

where D_a is the covariant derivative operator associated with the spatial metric s_{ab}.

---

[2] Although it is not relevant for our discussion (or the derivation of the first law with fixed Lovelock coupling constants in [12]), it should be noted that the Hamiltonian formulation of Lovelock gravity is generally ill-defined [6] in the sense that the "velocities" are multi-valued functions of the momenta. The exception to this is when all the parameters of the theory are such that all the α's in equation (12) are equal and there is a unique constant curvature vacuum.

3 Lovelock first law with varying couplings

The Smarr formula in Einstein gravity may be obtained from the first law by considering perturbations that represent an overall change in length scale (see *e.g.* references [50, 51]). Lovelock gravity theories, however, include additional dimensionful couplings, and in order to obtain the Smarr formula in a similar fashion, one must start with a version of the first law that includes variations in the Lovelock couplings. The need for such an extended first law already arises for Einstein gravity with a non-zero cosmological constant [47]. The contribution to the first law from a varying cosmological constant was computed and used to obtain the Smarr formula in reference [35][3].

A first law for Lovelock black holes with fixed coupling constants was derived in [12] using the Hamiltonian perturbation theory methods of [13] (see [52] for a more general formulation). Limiting our consideration to perturbations around static black holes, the result of [12] has the familiar form

$$\delta M|_{b_k} = T\delta S|_{b_k} \tag{17}$$

where the temperature is given in terms of the surface gravity κ by $T = \kappa/2\pi$, the entropy S is given in equation (10) and we indicate explicitly that we are considering variations with the Lovelock couplings held fixed. In this section we will compute the new contributions to (17) that arise when the Lovelock couplings are allowed to vary.

3.1 Hamiltonian perturbation theory

The Hamiltonian perturbation theory construction works in the following way. Let the metric be split as in equation (14). The Hamiltonian variables are the metric on the spacelike slices s_{ab} and its conjugate momentum π^{ab}. We first recall the case where the Lovelock coupling constants are held fixed [12] and then show how allowing variations in the couplings modifies the results. Let the metric $g^{(0)}_{ab}$ be a solution to the equations of motion with a static Killing vector ξ^a. The corresponding Hamiltonian variables are $s^{(0)}_{ab}$ and $\pi^{ab}_{(0)}$ and satisfy $\mathcal{L}_\xi s^{(0)}_{ab} = \mathcal{L}_\xi \pi^{ab}_{(0)} = 0$. Now consider perturbing the metric such that $s_{ab} = s^{(0)}_{ab} + \delta s_{ab}$ and $\pi^{ab} = \pi^{ab}_{(0)} + \delta\pi^{ab}$. The perturbed metric is also assumed to satisfy the equations of motion, but the perturbations are not required to be invariant under the symmetry generated by the Killing vector ξ^a.

---

[3] One might wonder how the scaling argument in Einstein gravity could have given the correct result without using a first law that includes variations δG in Newton's constant, since it is also dimensionful. We will address this point below.

The variation of $H_\perp$ with respect to these perturbations then takes the form

$$\delta H_\perp = \frac{\delta H_\perp}{\delta s_{ab}} \cdot \delta s_{ab} + \frac{\delta H_\perp}{\delta \pi^{ab}} \cdot \delta \pi^{ab} \tag{18}$$

and similarly for the variation δH_a. Here $\cdot$ indicates that the quantity to the left is a differential operator acting on the quantity to the right.

The construction proceeds by looking at Hamiltonian evolution with respect to the Killing vector ξ^a. The lapse and shift variables F and F^a are then given by the projections of the Killing vector perpendicular to and along the hypersurface, so that $F = -\xi^a n_a$ and $F^a = \xi^a - F n^a$. The variation in the Hamiltonian is then $\delta H = F \delta H_\perp + F^c \delta H_c$ and one can write

$$\delta H = \left(\frac{\delta H_\perp^*}{\delta s_{ab}} \cdot F + \frac{\delta H_c^*}{\delta s_{ab}} \cdot F^c \right) \delta s_{ab} + \left(\frac{\delta H_\perp^*}{\delta \pi^{ab}} \cdot F + \frac{\delta H_c^*}{\delta \pi^{ab}} \cdot F^c \right) \delta \pi^{ab} + D_c B^c, \tag{19}$$

Here * denotes the adjoint differential operators that result from integrating by parts, and D_a is the covariant derivative operator compatible with the unperturbed spatial metric $\overset{(0)}{s}_{ab}$.

It follows from the Hamiltonian equations of motion that the quantities in parenthesis in (19) are respectively $-\mathcal{L}_\xi \pi^{ab}_{(0)}$ and $\mathcal{L}_\xi \overset{(0)}{s}_{ab}$, which vanish since ξ^a is a Killing vector of the background. For vacuum spacetimes one has both $H = H_a = 0$ and $\delta H = \delta H_a = 0$ and therefore equation (19) implies a Gauss-type law for linearized solutions, namely

$$D_c B^c = 0. \tag{20}$$

Note that this applies in vacuum, while more generally perturbations to the stress energy tensor will appear on the right hand side. Also note that the vector B^a receives a contribution from each higher curvature term so that $B^a = \sum_k b_k B^{(k)a}$. One finds in particular that $B^{(0)a} = 0$, while

$$B^{(1)a} = F(D^a h - D_b h^{ab}) - h D^a F + h^{ab} D_b F + \frac{1}{\sqrt{s}} \left(F^a \pi^{bc} h_{bc} - \pi^{ab} h_{bc} F^c - 2 p^{ab} F_b \right) \tag{21}$$

gives the contribution from the Einstein term in the Lagrangian [52]. Here we have set $h_{ab} = \delta s_{ab}$ and $p^{ab} = \delta \pi^{ab}$. An explicit expression for the $B^{(k)a}$ for all k is given in [12], but will not be needed here.

The Gauss' law statement (20) was used in reference [12] to derive the first law in Lovelock theories with fixed b_k and G by integrating over the spatial surface. As in the derivation of the first law in Einstein gravity [13], the boundary term at infinity is found to be proportional to the variation in the ADM mass, while the boundary term at the horizon is proportional to the surface gravity times the variation in the entropy. Setting the whole integral equal to zero then gives the result for the first law with fixed Lovelock couplings in equation (17).

In order to derive the Smarr formula for Lovelock gravity, we now need to compute the contributions to the first law when the Lovelock coupling constants are allowed to vary. We will consider independent variations in all the constants b_k as well as in Newton's constant G. Considering variation in the dimensionless coupling b_1 is redundant. However, it makes the computations more uniform to carry through and we will see that the variation δb_1 drops out form the final result.

3.2 Varying Newton's constant

In order to understand how variations in Newton's constant enter the result, let us first reconsider the first law in of Einstein gravity coupled to matter allowing for a non-zero δG. Take the background to be Minkowski spacetime and include the matter via a perturbative source term $\delta\rho$ (the background stress energy and perturbative current δJ_a are taken to vanish). Adding the contributions of stress-energy into the perturbation theory presented above, the Hamiltonian constraint equation $H = -16\pi G\rho$ linearizes to $D_a B^a = -16\pi\delta(G\rho)$, where $B^a = B^{(1)a}$ is given in equation (21). Integrating this result over a spatial slice, we have

$$\int_\infty da_c B^{(1)c} = -16\pi\delta(GM). \tag{22}$$

Note that the background is Minkowski spacetime, so there is no internal boundary at a black hole horizon. However, this result for the boundary term at infinity will continue to hold with a black hole present and therefore with $\delta G \neq 0$, the first law becomes

$$\delta(GM) = \frac{\kappa}{8\pi}\delta A. \tag{23}$$

Of course if G is fixed then this is much ado about nothing. However, to derive the Smarr relation from the resulting first law, one must understand that the actual result is (23). One gets the right result because the product GM has dimension L^{D-3} which is not true for the mass M by itself.

Applying this same reasoning to the results of [12] in Lovelock gravity, we modify the equation for δM to replace $G\delta M$ with $\delta(GM)$ giving

$$\delta(GM) = -\frac{1}{16\pi}\int_\infty da_c \sum_k b_k B^{(k)c} \tag{24}$$

which holds for fixed couplings b_k.

3.3 Varying Lovelock couplings

Now consider perturbing the coefficients b_k of the Lovelock theory as well. The perturbed metric is assumed to satisfy the Lovelock equations of motion with the perturbed coefficients $b_k + \delta b_k$, $G + \delta G$. For vacuum solutions one has $H = \delta H = 0$. Equation (20) will receive a new contribution from the δb_k, giving

$$D_a B^a + \sum_k \delta b_k (F H_\perp^{(k)} + F^a H_a^{(k)}) = 0 \tag{25}$$

where $H_\perp^{(k)}$ and $H_a^{(k)}$ are evaluated with respect to the unperturbed variables $\bar{s}_{ab}$ and $\bar{\pi}^{ab}$. Unlike $H_\perp$ and H_a themselves, the sum above with coefficients δb_k rather than b_k will not vanish in general. This would appear to spoil the chances of deriving an expanded version of the first law from (25). However, each term in the sum may be rewritten as a boundary term by making use of the Killing-Lovelock potentials $\beta^{(k)ab}$ defined in equation (11). The result of integrating (25) over the spatial slice is then given by

$$\int_{\partial V} da_c \sum_k \left(b_k B^{(k)c}[h_{ab}] + \delta b_k \beta^{(k)cd} n_d \right) = 0. \tag{26}$$

This result will now enable us to derive the generalized first law for Lovelock black holes including variations in the Lovelock couplings. However, there are two issues that need to be resolved in order to process equation (26) into the first law. First is the issue of divergences. With asymptotically AdS boundary conditions, each of the individual terms in (26) diverges at infinity. In order to begin the process of interpreting the contributions at infinity, we will want to work with finite quantities. Second, in Lovelock gravity both the mass (discussed below) and the entropy (10) have explicit dependence on the Lovelock couplings that must be taken into account in identifying the variations δM and δS.

3.4 Dealing with divergences

When the Lovelock couplings b_k are varied, the curvatures of the Lovelock vacua discussed in section (2.5) will vary as well. This leads to divergences in individual terms in the boundary term at infinity contained in equation (26). In this section, we show that adding zero to the boundary integrand at infinity in a judicious way, yields finite quantities which will aid in the processing of the first law. This procedure is described in some detail for the case $\hat{k} = 1$, *i.e.* Einstein gravity with $\Lambda < 0$, in reference [35]. We will just sketch how it works out in the general Lovelock case.

Let us assume that with Lovelock coupling constants b_k the theory has an AdS vacuum with radius of curvature l. In static coordinates, the AdS vacuum metric is then given by

$$ds^2 = -(1 + r^2/l^2)dt^2 + \frac{dr^2}{(1 + r^2/l^2)} + r^2 d\Omega_{D-2}^2. \tag{27}$$

We will call the spatial part of this metric $\bar{s}^A_{ab}$. Now consider varying the Lovelock couplings to nearby values $b_k + \delta b_k$. The AdS curvature radius will generically also shift to a nearby value $l + \delta l$, where to good approximation δl can be taken to depend linearly on the shifts δb_k in the Lovelock couplings. We will call this new AdS vacuum metric $\bar{s}^B_{ab}$ and denote the difference between the two AdS metrics by $H_{ab} = \bar{s}^B_{ab} - \bar{s}^A_{ab}$.

Now consider the Gauss' law statement (26) for this setup. Since their is no black hole horizon, the only contribution to the boundary integral comes from infinity and (26) implies that this integral at infinity must vanish. Denoting the Killing-Lovelock potentials for the metric $\bar{s}^A_{ab}$ by $\beta^{(k)ab}_{AdS}$, we then have the relation

$$\int_\infty da_c \sum_k \left(b_k B^{(k)c}(H_{ab}) + \delta b_k \, \beta^{(k)cd}_{AdS} n_d \right) = 0. \tag{28}$$

We have checked this formula explicitly by computing all the ingredients, each of which diverge in the limit that the boundary is taken to infinity, with the sum vanishing pointwise. The check for the case $\hat{k} = 1$ is carried out explicitly in [35].

Now consider a static black hole with metric s^A_{ab} in the Lovelock theory with couplings b_k that is asymptotic at infinity to the AdS vacuum $\bar{s}^A_{ab}$, and also a perturbed black hole with metric s^B_{ab} in the Lovelock theory with perturbed couplings $b_k + \delta b_k$ that is asymptotic to the AdS vacuum $\bar{s}^B_{ab}$. The metric perturbation $h_{ab} = s^B_{ab} - s^A_{ab}$ between the black hole spacetimes then asymptotes at infinity to H_{ab}. The cancellation of infinities in equation (28) guarantees that the total boundary term at infinity in (26) will be finite. However, in order to work with manifestly finite contributions, we can subtract zero in the form of equation (28) from equation (26), giving

$$0 = \frac{1}{16\pi G} \sum_k \left\{ \int_\infty da_c b_k \left(B^{(k)c}[h_{ab}] - B^{(k)c}[H_{ab}] \right) - \int_H da_c b_k B^{(k)c}[h_{ab}] - \delta b_k \Theta^{(k)} \right\} \tag{29}$$

where we have grouped together the Killing-Lovelock potential terms into the quantities

$$\Theta^{(k)} = \int_H da_c \beta^{(k)cd} n_d - \int_\infty da_c (\beta^{(k)cd} - \beta^{(k)cd}_{AdS}) n_d. \tag{30}$$

The terms grouped by parenthesis in the integrals at infinity in (29) and (30) can be shown to sum to finite quantities. With the Gauss' law identity processed into the form (29), we are now in a position to identify the contributions to the variations in the mass and entropy and establish the extended first law.

3.5 Extended first law and Smarr formula

The task at hand is now to relate the Gauss' law expression (29) to the variations in the mass and entropy. In order to understand the variation δM in the mass for Lovelock black holes, we need to start with an expression for the mass M itself. As discussed in reference [12], the Hamiltonian formulation of Lovelock gravity is well-suited to deriving an expression for the mass. Following the reasoning of Regge and Teiltelboim [54] in Einstein gravity, in order to have a well-defined variational principle, the volume term of the Lovelock Hamiltonian must be supplemented by a boundary term, whose variation cancels the boundary term that arises in the variation of the bulk Hamiltonian. The mass M of the spacetime is given by the value of the Hamiltonian. Since the bulk Hamiltonian vanishes on solutions, the mass is given solely by the boundary term. The steps required to obtain the required Hamiltonian boundary term are essentially those of the Hamiltonian perturbation theory that produces B^a in (19). For a metric s^A_{ab}, that is asymptotic at infinity to the AdS vacuum with metric $\bar{s}^A_{ab}$, the mass [12] is then given by

$$M[s^A_{ab}] = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\sum_k b_k \int_\infty da_c B^{(k)c}[s^A_{ab} - \bar{s}^A_{ab}]. \tag{31}$$

Note that the explicit dependence of the mass on the Lovelock couplings will contribute to δM when these couplings are varied.

Now assume that the nearby metrics s^A_{ab} and s^B_{ab} are as described in section (3.4). Recalling that the boundary vectors $B^{(k)a}$ are linear in their arguments, the variation in the mass $\delta M = M[s^B_{ab}] - M[s^A_{ab}]$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned}\delta M &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\sum_k \int_\infty da_c \left\{ b_k B^{(k)c}[(s^B_{ab} - \bar{s}^B_{ab}) - (s^A_{ab} - \bar{s}^A_{ab})] + \delta b_k B^{(k)c}[s^A_{ab} - \bar{s}^A_{ab}] \right\} &(32)\\ &= -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\sum_k \int_\infty da_c \left\{ b_k \left(B^{(k)c}[h_{ab}] - B^{(k)c}[H_{ab}] \right) + \delta b_k B^{(k)c}[s^A_{ab} - \bar{s}^A_{ab}] \right\}. &(33)\end{aligned}$$

The other ingredient we need is the variation of the expression (10) for the entropy of a Lovelock black hole, which is given by $\delta S = \frac{1}{4G}\sum_k \left(b_k \delta A^{(k)} + A^{(k)}\delta b_k \right)$. From the derivation of the first law with fixed Lovelock couplings [12], we know that

$$\delta A^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2\kappa}\int_H da_c B^{(k)c}[s^B_{ab} - s^A_{ab}] \tag{34}$$

while the black hole temperature is related to the horizon surface gravity according to $T = \kappa/2\pi$. Therefore we have

$$T\delta S = -\frac{1}{16\pi G}\int_H da_c \sum_k b_k B^{(k)c}[s^B_{ab} - s^A_{ab}] + \frac{\kappa}{8\pi G}\sum_k A^{(k)}\delta b_k \tag{35}$$

We can now substitute the expressions (33) and (35) for δM and $T\delta S$ into the Gauss' law relation (29) to obtain a first law having the form given in equation (3). If we make the further definition

$$B^{(k)} = \int_\infty da_c B^{(k)c}[s^A_{ab} - \bar{s}^A_{ab}] \tag{36}$$

then the thermodynamic potentials $\Psi^{(k)}$ are given by

$$\Psi^{(k)} = 2\kappa A^{(k)} + B^{(k)} + \Theta^{(k)}, \tag{37}$$

with the three terms originating respectively from the dependence of the entropy, the mass and the bulk Hamiltonian on the Lovelock couplings. Note that the quantities $B^{(0)c}$ and $A^{(0)}$ both vanish and that consequently this general result reduces to that of reference [35] in the case of Einstein gravity with $\Lambda < 0$. The full Smarr formula can now be assembled from (4) and (37) and has the form

$$(D-3)M = (D-2)TS - \sum_k \frac{2(k-1)}{16\pi G} \left(2\kappa A^{(k)} + B^{(k)} + \Theta^{(k)}\right) b_k \tag{38}$$

The formula (37) gives geometrical expressions for the potentials $\Psi^{(k)}$ that are thermodynamically conjugate to the Lovelock couplings b_k. One obvious comment is that these expressions are more complicated then the thermodynamic quantity conjugate to the entropy (*i.e.* the temperture) which remains simple in Lovelock gravity. It seems possible that there exists a simpler formulation for the $\Psi^{(k)}$. In this context, it is worth noting that the quantities $B^{(k)}$ can each be shown to be proportional to the mass [36] according to

$$B^{(k)} = -k \frac{16\pi G (D-1)!}{\sigma_1 \, (D-2k-1)!} \left(\frac{-1}{l^2}\right)^{k-1} M \tag{39}$$

where $\sigma_1 = \sum_k \frac{(D-1)!}{(D-2k-1)!} \left(\frac{-1}{l^2}\right)^{k-1} k \, b_k$.

3.6 Physical significance of the potentials $\Theta^{(k)}$

The terms $A^{(k)}$ and $B^{(k)}$ in the expression (37) for $\Psi^{(k)}$ are somewhat familiar, being related to the entropy and mass respectively. The terms $\Theta^{(k)}$, on the other hand, are new and we should ask what intuition can we gain into them? Let us start by drawing attention to two important features of the formula (30) for the $\Theta^{(k)}$'s.

First, recall that the anti-symmetric Killing-Lovelock potentials $\beta^{(k)ab}$ are specified only up to the addition of an arbitrary divergenceless anti-symmetric tensor. The potentials $\Theta^{(k)}$, however, are invariant under such shifts (see the discussion of this point in reference [35]). Secondly, the integrals of $\beta^{(k)ab}$ and $\beta^{(k)ab}_{AdS}$ at infinity are individually divergent. To obtain a finite result, one must take the difference of the two integrals over finite sized spheres and then take the limit in which the radius of the sphere becomes infinite. The potentials $\Theta^{(k)}$ then represent 'renormalized' or 'effective' quantities.

The physical significance of the potentials $\Theta^{(k)}$ is perhaps easier to assess by converting the boundary integrals in (30) back into volume integrals. Making use of equation (16), one can express the $\Theta^{(k)}$ in terms of volume integrals of the individual terms $H^{(k)}$ in the Hamiltonian. The k^{th} Hamiltonian function is given explicitly by

$$H^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2^k}\tilde{\delta}^{a_1b_1\ldots a_kb_k}_{c_1d_1\ldots c_kd_k}\, R_{a_1b_1}{}^{c_1d_1}[g]\ldots R_{a_kb_k}{}^{c_kd_k}[g]. \tag{40}$$

where the tilde denotes the anti-symmetrized delta-function projected with the spatial metric s^a_b on all its indices. The Riemann tensors here are those of the full spacetime metric. However, because all indices on the Riemann tensors are projected with the spatial metric, we can use the Gauss-Codazzi equations to write them in terms of the Riemann tensor for the spatial metric s_{ab} together with the extrinsic curvature K_{ab} of the spatial slice according to $\tilde{R}_{ab}{}^{cd}[g] = R_{ab}{}^{cd}[s] + K_{[a}{}^{c}K_{b]}{}^{d}$. Now recall that the spacetime metric is assumed to have a static Killing vector ξ^a. Let us choose a spatial slice Σ with normal in the direction of the Killing field, so that $\xi^a = Fn^a$. For this slice the extrinsic curvature K_{ab} vanishes and the k^{th} Hamiltonian becomes

$$H^{(k)} = -\frac{1}{2^k}\tilde{\delta}^{a_1b_1\ldots a_kb_k}_{c_1d_1\ldots c_kd_k}\, R_{a_1b_1}{}^{c_1d_1}[s]\ldots R_{a_kb_k}{}^{c_kd_k}[s]. \tag{41}$$

This is the same as minus the k^{th} Lovelock Lagrangian given in (5), but now evaluated on the spatial metric (*i.e.* for this configuration $H^k = -\mathcal{L}^{(k)}$). Combining equations (16) and (41) the formula (30) for the $\Theta^{(k)}$ can now be written as

$$\Theta^{(k)} = \int_\Sigma \sqrt{-g}\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[s] - \int_{\Sigma_{AdS}} \sqrt{-g_{AdS}}\mathcal{L}^{(k)}[s_{AdS}] \tag{42}$$

In the first integral, the spatial slice Σ runs from the black hole horizon out to spatial infinity, while in the second integral Σ_{AdS} is a spatial slice of AdS and has no internal boundary. In both integrals the slice is chosen to have unit normal such that $\xi^a = Fn^a$, and we have combined $\sqrt{-g} = F\sqrt{s}$. As noted above, each of the volume integrals in (42) is divergent and therefore the formula must be understood as integrating out to some large spheres in the asymptotic region and carrying out the subtraction before letting the spheres go to infinity.

The potential $\Theta^{(0)}$ was previously considered in reference [35]. The 0^{th} Lovelock Lagrangian is simply $\mathcal{L}^{(0)} = 1$ and therefore the integrals in (42) are the volumes of the respective slices. Since the slice Σ_{AdS} covers the whole of the interior, while the slice Σ has the interior of the black hole removed, we can think heuristically of the difference in (42) as minus an effective volume V_{eff} of the black hole interior,

$$\Theta^{(0)} = -V_{eff}. \tag{43}$$

As noted in [35], thinking about $\Theta^{(0)}$ in this way leads to a thermodynamic interpretation in the case of Einstein gravity with a nonzero cosmological constant $\Lambda = -b_0/2$. The cosmological constant is naturally associated with a pressure through $p = -\Lambda/8\pi G$ and the new terms in the extended first law and Smarr formula in this case become respectively $V_{eff}\delta p$ and $pV_{eff}/4\pi G$. The form of this contribution to the first law led us to the interpretation of the mass M as a kind of enthalpy in the context of a variable cosmological constant.

4 Concluding Remarks and Future Directions

We have used geometric methods to establish certain properties of static, asymptotically AdS black holes in Lovelock gravity theories, namely a Smarr formula (38) and a related extension of the first law (3) that includes variations in the Lovelock couplings. In addition to the familiar term proportional to the surface gravity times the area, the Smarr formula[4] contains a sum over a set of geometric potentials $\Psi^{(k)}$ multiplied by the Lovelock couplings b_k. A similar sum appears in the first law.

The new potentials $\Psi^{(k)}$ in (37) include three types of contributions which we have written as $A^{(k)}$, $B^{(k)}$ and $\Theta^{(k)}$, related to the entropy, mass and Killing-Lovelock potentials respectively. The terms $\Theta^{(k)}$, in particular, are expressed in terms of boundary integrals of the anti-symmetric Killing-Lovelock potentials $\beta^{(k)ab}$ defined in (11). We have shown that they can be re-expressed as in (42) as finite, renormalized volume integrals of the Lovelock Lagrangian densities over a spatial slice extending from the horizon out to infinity. The AdS/CFT correspondence offers a potentially rewarding direction to look for further insight into the physical significance of the $\Psi^{(k)}$'s. It is well known that the properties of Einstein-AdS black holes are related to those of the high temperature phase of the boundary CFT [55, 56]. As noted in the introduction, the impact of Gauss-Bonnet and higher derivative Lovelock terms on the boundary CFT has been the subject of substantial recent interest[2]. The potentials $\Psi^{(k)}$ should be relevant to this story.

A related application of the Smarr formula (38) that we plan to explore in future work is using it as an aid in the analysis of the free energy of Lovelock black holes. Hawking and Page [48] showed that sufficiently large AdS-Schwarschild black holes have positive specific heat and are therefore thermodynamically stable. Further, they computed the free energy F as a function of the horizon radius r_H and Λ, finding a phase transition where the free energy for large black holes relative to the AdS background becomes negative above a certain temperature. Through the AdS/CFT correspondence in a $D = 5$ bulk, this Hawking-Page phase transition signals the transition from the low temperature, confining phase to the high temperature, de-confining phase of the gauge theory on the boundary [55, 56]. Similar analyses have been done for Gauss-Bonnet-AdS black holes [57, 58, 59, 60] using the known analytic solution [7, 8][5]. The calculations are significantly more complicated and yield a more intricate set of results. Only some parts of the (b_0, b_2) Lovelock parameter space admit stable, large black holes. For still higher curvature Lovelock theories, the static black hole solutions are known only implicitly in terms of a metric function that solves a higher order polynomial equation [9]. In this case, it is both more challenging (or perhaps not possible) to compute the free energy explicitly and also potentially more challenging to analyze any results over the expanded Lovelock parameter space.

---

[4] A Smarr formula for AdS black strings in Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity was found using boundary counterterm methods in [53]which does not include the $\Psi^{(k)}$-type potential terms found here. The solutions studied in [53], including a compact direction, differ in boundary conditions from those considered here and hence our results cannot be compared directly. However, we find the absence of the potential terms in the results of [53] surprising. We expect this is due to the use of different definitions of the mass.

[5] See also references [61, 62, 14] for further discussions of the thermodynamic and stability properties of Lovelock black holes

However, the process can be simplified. The free energy is given by the temperature T times the Euclidean action I_E. Working in the Hamiltonian picture one can show that $I_E = \beta M - S$ where β is the Euclidean period [63]. For a smooth Euclidean horizon the period is equal to the inverse temperature and one has $F = M - (\kappa/2\pi)S$. The Smarr formula (38) can now be used to eliminate the mass from the expression of F, giving

$$(D-3)F = TS - \frac{1}{4\pi G}\sum_k b_k(k-1)\Psi^{(k)}. \tag{44}$$

In the Hawking-Page case only the parameters b_0 and b_1 are nonzero, and using the relation $b_0 = -2\Lambda$ this reduces to

$$F = \frac{2\Omega_{D-2}}{D-3} r_H^{D-2}\left(\kappa + \frac{2\Lambda r_H}{D-1}\right) \tag{45}$$

In this form we see that there is a positive contribution to the free energy coming from the area, and a negative contribution (for $\Lambda < 0$) coming from the potential $\Theta^{(0)}$. Which one of these terms dominates depends on how the surface gravity κ depends on the horizon radius. We have therefore gained some geometrical understanding of the phase transition, namely that the possibility of a thermodynamically stable large black hole comes from the $\Theta^{(0)}$ term in the Smarr relation. In the limits of large and small AdS black holes respectively, it turns out that

$$\kappa \approx \frac{D-3}{2r_H}, \quad b_0 r_H^2 \ll 1, \qquad \kappa \approx \frac{b_0 r_H}{2(D-2)}, \quad b_0 r_H^2 \gg 1 \tag{46}$$

Substituting these into (45) one finds that the free energy F is indeed negative for the large black holes, signaling the phase transition.

This analysis suggests that equation (44) might be used to study the properties of the free energy F for a general Lovelock black hole, even in the absence of fully explicit, analytic solutions. In order to analyze the thermodynamics, one would need to know F as a function of r_H and the Lovelock couplings b_k. There are two obstacles to this plan. The surface gravity and the potentials $\Psi^{(k)}$ both need to be determined as functions of r_H, in the absence of analytic solutions. We will pursue this goal, and also its extension to rotating black holes, in future work.

Acknowledgements

The authors would like to thank Julio Oliva, Steven Willison and Jorge Zanelli for useful discussions.

The work of DK and JT is supported in part by NSF grant PHY-0555304. The work of SR was funded by FONDECYT grant 3095018 and by the CONICYT grant "Southern Theoretical Physics Laboratory " ACT-91. Centro de Estudios Científicos (CECS) is funded by the Chilean Government through the Millennium Science Initiative and the Centers of Excellence Base Financing Program of CONICYT. CECS is also supported by a group of private companies which at present includes Antofagasta Minerals, Arauco, Empresas CMPC, Indura, Naviera Ultragas and Telefónica del Sur. CIN is funded by CONICYT and the Gobierno Regional de Los Ríos.

References

[1] D. Lovelock, "The Einstein tensor and its generalizations," J. Math. Phys. **12**, 498 (1971).

[2] B. Zwiebach, "Curvature Squared Terms And String Theories," Phys. Lett. B **156**, 315 (1985).

[3] B. Zumino, "Gravity Theories In More Than Four-Dimensions," Phys. Rept. **137**, 109 (1986).

[4] C. Charmousis and A. Padilla, "The Instability of Vacua in Gauss-Bonnet Gravity," JHEP **0812**, 038 (2008) [arXiv:0807.2864 [hep-th]].

[5] F. Canfora, A. Giacomini, R. Troncoso and S. Willison, "General Relativity with small cosmological constant from spontaneous compactification of Lovelock theory in vacuum," Phys. Rev. D **80**, 044029 (2009) [arXiv:0812.4311 [hep-th]].

[6] C. Teitelboim and J. Zanelli "Dimensionally Continued Topological Gravitation in Hamiltonian Form," Class. Quant. Grav. **4**, L125 (1987); Also, "Constraint's theory and relativistic dynamics : proceedings," eds. G. Longhi and L. Lusanna (World Scientific, 1987).

[7] D. G. Boulware and S. Deser, "String Generated Gravity Models," Phys. Rev. Lett. **55**, 2656 (1985).

[8] J. T. Wheeler, "Symmetric Solutions To The Gauss-Bonnet Extended Einstein Equations," Nucl. Phys. B **268**, 737 (1986).

[9] J. T. Wheeler, "Symmetric Solutions To The Maximally Gauss-Bonnet Extended Einstein Equations," Nucl. Phys. B **273**, 732 (1986).

[10] C. Charmousis, "Higher order gravity theories and their black hole solutions," Lect. Notes Phys. **769**, 299 (2009) [arXiv:0805.0568 [gr-qc]].

[11] C. Garraffo and G. Giribet, "The Lovelock Black Holes," Mod. Phys. Lett. A **23**, 1801 (2008) [arXiv:0805.3575 [gr-qc]].

[12] T. Jacobson and R. C. Myers, "Black Hole Entropy And Higher Curvature Interactions," Phys. Rev. Lett. **70**, 3684 (1993) [arXiv:hep-th/9305016].

[13] D. Sudarsky and R. M. Wald, "Extrema of mass, stationarity, and staticity, and solutions to the Einstein Yang-Mills equations," Phys. Rev. D **46**, 1453 (1992).

[14] R. G. Cai, "A note on thermodynamics of black holes in Lovelock gravity," Phys. Lett. B **582**, 237 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0311240].

[15] R. M. Wald, "Black hole entropy is the Noether charge," Phys. Rev. D **48**, 3427 (1993) [arXiv:gr-qc/9307038].

[16] V. Iyer and R. M. Wald, "Some properties of Noether charge and a proposal for dynamical black hole entropy," Phys. Rev. D **50**, 846 (1994) [arXiv:gr-qc/9403028].

[17] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, "Viscosity Bound Violation in Higher Derivative Gravity," Phys. Rev. D **77**, 126006 (2008) [arXiv:0712.0805 [hep-th]].

[18] M. Brigante, H. Liu, R. C. Myers, S. Shenker and S. Yaida, "The Viscosity Bound and Causality Violation," Phys. Rev. Lett. **100**, 191601 (2008) [arXiv:0802.3318 [hep-th]].

[19] A. Buchel and R. C. Myers, "Causality of Holographic Hydrodynamics," JHEP **0908**, 016 (2009) [arXiv:0906.2922 [hep-th]].

[20] D. M. Hofman, "Higher Derivative Gravity, Causality and Positivity of Energy in a UV complete QFT," Nucl. Phys. B **823**, 174 (2009) [arXiv:0907.1625 [hep-th]].

[21] F. W. Shu, "The Quantum Viscosity Bound In Lovelock Gravity," Phys. Lett. B **685**, 325 (2010) [arXiv:0910.0607 [hep-th]].

[22] J. de Boer, M. Kulaxizi and A. Parnachev, "AdS_7/CFT_6, Gauss-Bonnet Gravity, and Viscosity Bound," JHEP **1003**, 087 (2010) [arXiv:0910.5347 [hep-th]].

[23] X. O. Camanho and J. D. Edelstein, "Causality constraints in AdS/CFT from conformal collider physics and Gauss-Bonnet gravity," JHEP **1004**, 007 (2010) [arXiv:0911.3160 [hep-th]].

[24] A. Buchel, J. Escobedo, R. C. Myers, M. F. Paulos, A. Sinha and M. Smolkin, "Holographic GB gravity in arbitrary dimensions," JHEP **1003**, 111 (2010) [arXiv:0911.4257 [hep-th]].

[25] J. de Boer, M. Kulaxizi and A. Parnachev, "Holographic Lovelock Gravities and Black Holes," arXiv:0912.1877 [hep-th].

[26] X. O. Camanho and J. D. Edelstein, "Causality in AdS/CFT and Lovelock theory," arXiv:0912.1944 [hep-th].

[27] X. H. Ge, B. Wang, S. F. Wu and G. H. Yang, "Analytical study on holographic superconductors in external magnetic field," arXiv:1002.4901 [hep-th].

[28] G. Dotti and R. J. Gleiser, "Gravitational instability of Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black holes under tensor mode perturbations," Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, L1 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0409005].

[29] G. Dotti and R. J. Gleiser, "Linear stability of Einstein-gauss-bonnet static spacetimes. part. I: Tensor perturbations," Phys. Rev. D **72**, 044018 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0503117].

[30] R. J. Gleiser and G. Dotti, "Linear stability of Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet static spacetimes. II: Vector and scalar perturbations," Phys. Rev. D **72**, 124002 (2005) [arXiv:gr-qc/0510069].

[31] M. Beroiz, G. Dotti and R. J. Gleiser, "Gravitational instability of static spherically symmetric Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet black holes in five and six dimensions," Phys. Rev. D **76**, 024012 (2007) [arXiv:hep-th/0703074].

[32] T. Takahashi and J. Soda, "Stability of Lovelock Black Holes under Tensor Perturbations," Phys. Rev. D **79**, 104025 (2009) [arXiv:0902.2921 [gr-qc]].

[33] T. Takahashi and J. Soda, "Instability of Small Lovelock Black Holes in Even-dimensions," Phys. Rev. D **80**, 104021 (2009) [arXiv:0907.0556 [gr-qc]].

[34] D. Kastor, "Komar Integrals in Higher (and Lower) Derivative Gravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **25**, 175007 (2008) [arXiv:0804.1832 [hep-th]].

[35] D. Kastor, S. Ray and J. Traschen, "Enthalpy and the Mechanics of AdS Black Holes," Class. Quant. Grav. **26**, 195011 (2009) [arXiv:0904.2765 [hep-th]].

[36] D. Kastor, S. Ray and J. Traschen, "Mass and Free Energy of Lovelock AdS Black Holes, " in preparation.

[37] L. Smarr, "Mass Formula For Kerr Black Holes," Phys. Rev. Lett. **30**, 71 (1973) [Erratum-ibid. **30**, 521 (1973)].

[38] M. M. Caldarelli, G. Cognola and D. Klemm, "Thermodynamics of Kerr-Newman-AdS black holes and conformal field theories," Class. Quant. Grav. **17**, 399 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/9908022].

[39] S. Wang, S. Q. Wu, F. Xie and L. Dan, "The first laws of thermodynamics of the (2+1)-dimensional BTZ black holes and Kerr-de Sitter spacetimes," Chin. Phys. Lett. **23**, 1096 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0601147].

[40] Y. Sekiwa, "Thermodynamics of de Sitter black holes: Thermal cosmological constant," Phys. Rev. D **73**, 084009 (2006) [arXiv:hep-th/0602269].

[41] S. Wang, "Thermodynamics of Schwarzschild de Sitter spacetimes: Variable cosmological constant," arXiv:gr-qc/0606109.

[42] E. A. Larranaga Rubio, "On the First Law of Thermodynamics for (2+1) Dimensional Charged BTZ Black Hole and Charged de Sitter Space," arXiv:0707.2256 [gr-qc].

[43] G. L. Cardoso and V. Grass, "On five-dimensional non-extremal charged black holes and FRW cosmology," Nucl. Phys. B **803**, 209 (2008) [arXiv:0803.2819 [hep-th]].

[44] M. Urano, A. Tomimatsu and H. Saida, "Mechanical First Law of Black Hole Spacetimes with Cosmological Constant and Its Application to Schwarzschild-de Sitter Spacetime," arXiv:0903.4230 [gr-qc].

[45] A. Komar, "Covariant conservation laws in general relativity," Phys. Rev. **113**, 934 (1959).

[46] A. Magnon, "On Komar integrals in asymptotically anti-de Sitter space-times," J. Math. Phys. **26**, 3112 (1985).

[47] G. W. Gibbons, M. J. Perry and C. N. Pope, "The first law of thermodynamics for Kerr - anti-de Sitter black holes," Class. Quant. Grav. **22**, 1503 (2005) [arXiv:hep-th/0408217].

[48] S. W. Hawking and D. N. Page, "Thermodynamics Of Black Holes In Anti-De Sitter Space," Commun. Math. Phys. **87**, 577 (1983).

[49] J. Crisostomo, R. Troncoso and J. Zanelli, "Black hole scan," Phys. Rev. D **62**, 084013 (2000) [arXiv:hep-th/0003271].

[50] J. P. Gauntlett, R. C. Myers and P. K. Townsend, "Black holes of D = 5 supergravity," Class. Quant. Grav. **16**, 1 (1999) [arXiv:hep-th/9810204].

[51] P. K. Townsend and M. Zamaklar, "The first law of black brane mechanics," Class. Quant. Grav. **18**, 5269 (2001) [arXiv:hep-th/0107228].

[52] J. H. Traschen, "Constraints On Stress Energy Perturbations In General Relativity," Phys. Rev. D **31**, 283 (1985).

[53] Y. Brihaye and E. Radu, "Black objects in the Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet theory with negative cosmological constant and the boundary counterterm method," JHEP **0809**, 006 (2008) [arXiv:0806.1396 [gr-qc]].

[54] T. Regge and C. Teitelboim, "Role Of Surface Integrals In The Hamiltonian Formulation Of General Relativity," Annals Phys. **88**, 286 (1974).

[55] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space and holography,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 253 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9802150].

[56] E. Witten, “Anti-de Sitter space, thermal phase transition, and confinement in gauge theories,” Adv. Theor. Math. Phys. **2**, 505 (1998) [arXiv:hep-th/9803131].

[57] M. Cvetic, S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Black hole thermodynamics and negative entropy in deSitter and anti-deSitter Einstein-Gauss-Bonnet gravity,” Nucl. Phys. B **628**, 295 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0112045].

[58] R. G. Cai, “Gauss-Bonnet black holes in AdS spaces,” Phys. Rev. D **65**, 084014 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0109133].

[59] S. Nojiri and S. D. Odintsov, “Anti-de Sitter black hole thermodynamics in higher derivative gravity and new confining-deconfining phases in dual CFT,” Phys. Lett. B **521**, 87 (2001) [Erratum-ibid. B **542**, 301 (2002)] [arXiv:hep-th/0109122].

[60] Y. M. Cho and I. P. Neupane, “Anti-de Sitter black holes, thermal phase transition and holography in higher curvature gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **66**, 024044 (2002) [arXiv:hep-th/0202140].

[61] R. G. Cai and K. S. Soh, “Topological black holes in the dimensionally continued gravity,” Phys. Rev. D **59**, 044013 (1999) [arXiv:gr-qc/9808067].

[62] R. G. Cai and Q. Guo, “Gauss-Bonnet black holes in dS spaces,” Phys. Rev. D **69**, 104025 (2004) [arXiv:hep-th/0311020].

[63] S. W. Hawking and G. T. Horowitz, Class. Quant. Grav. **13**, 1487 (1996) [arXiv:gr-qc/9501014].